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I. INTRODUCTION

The socioeconomic and political instability that permeated Colombia during the first half of the twentieth century, particularly during La Violencia, gave rise to the armed conflict that persists to date.¹ Peasant

---

* Juris Doctor Candidate, 2014, Florida International University College of Law. I want to thank my faculty advisor, Professor M.C. Mirow, for his invaluable help and guidance, and Marisol Floren for her assistance with research. I also want to thank my parents, Libardo and Lourdes Velez, for their unconditional love and support.

¹ ANGEL RABASA & PETER CHALK, COLOMBIAN LABYRINTH: THE SYNERGY OF DRUGS AND INSURGENCY AND ITS IMPLICATIONS FOR REGIONAL STABILITY 23 (2001) [hereinafter RABASA, ET AL., COLOMBIAN LABYRINTH].
farm workers, or campesinos, armed themselves and revolted against the elitist government. The underlying causes of the popular unrest were grievances over socioeconomic issues and demands for an agrarian or land reform. The leftist guerrilla insurgency emerged in this environment of political unrest and violence. During the second half of the twentieth century, the drug boom heightened the violence in Colombia. Guerrillas and large landowners were at war over control of the illicit drug trade. To resist the guerrillas, landowners formed their own counterinsurgent group: the paramilitaries. Both the guerrillas and the paramilitaries became the actors of brutal attacks against the civilian population. Their violent acts and their war over territorial control resulted in massive displacement.

With six million displaced innocents, the government enacted the Law of Victims and Land Restitution in 2011. It promised the “integral reparation” of the victims of forced displacement. As part of the integral reparation, the Law of Victims promises the return of lands to displaced victims and seeks the socioeconomic stabilization of the victims upon return to their lands. Also, the Law of Victims provides a warranty of no repetition, by which it promises that the victims will not be subject to forced displacement again. The Law of Victims seems ideal, and its implementation is necessary for the adequate compensation of individuals who have suffered the most as a result of the internal conflict.

However, the Law of Victims faces substantial challenges to its implementation. First, the environment of socioeconomic inequality in which the internal conflict is rooted still exists. The Law of Victims

---

2 See infra Part II (A).
4 For the proposition that the leftist guerrilla insurgency was a new phenomenon during the period known as La Violencia, see id. at 223.
5 Id. at 249.
7 Id.
8 See infra Part II (B)-(C).
9 See infra Part III.
10 Law of Victims, L. 1448/11, junio 10, 2011, 40.096 DIARIO OFICIAL [D.O.], art. 71 (Colom.).
12 Law of Victims, L. 1448/11, junio 10, 2011, 40.096 DIARIO OFICIAL [D.O.], art. 73, ¶ 3 (Colom.).
13 Id. at art. 1, ¶ 25.
14 For the proposition that Colombia experiences an unequal distribution of agricultural land, see
offers a series of measures that attempt to provide for the socioeconomic stabilization of the victims upon return, but the established measures are ineffective. Second, the internal conflict in Colombia continues, making the restitution of lands impossible in areas where the illegally armed groups retain control. Even if the process of land restitution proves successful, the victims are still exposed to violence in those areas. Last, illegally armed groups continue to plant landmines in areas under their control. Colombia is already the subject of a serious landmine problem, and the presence of mine fields places a substantial burden on the restitution of lands. On account of the foregoing, the Law of Victims faces the danger of achieving only symbolic significance. The Law of Victims is ideal for a post-conflict environment, but the internal conflict in Colombia is far from its end. Certain measures can be taken in order to overcome some of the challenges. And, unless the Colombian government adopts a necessary implementation plan or alternative measures, the Law of Victims will not achieve its purposes. In the interest of the victims, governmental action is urged to address the existing issues in order to overcome the burdens in achieving land restitution.

II. COLOMBIA’S ARMED INTERNAL CONFLICT

Colombia has been the subject of an armed internal conflict for the last fifty years. The internal conflict is rooted in a long history of inequality. Peasant farm workers demanded an agrarian or land reform that would have modified the unequal pattern of land tenure, and the controlling elite failed to implement such reforms that would have addressed the agrarian problem. Colombia experienced an era of turmoil in which illegally
armed groups, the guerrillas specifically, emerged. Subsequently, the paramilitaries, another illegally armed group, emerged in reaction to the guerrillas. The battle against each other and against the government created a wave of violence that has resulted in massive displacement. Despite the demobilization of some of these groups, the violence in Colombia persists.

A. The Political Instability that Led to the Arming of Peasants

The Conservative Party controlled Colombia’s politics between 1880 and 1930. The Conservative hegemony ended in 1930, when the Liberal Party assumed control of politics until 1946. While the Conservative Party was composed of members of the elite, peasant farm workers and the lower class supported the Liberal Party. The parties’s ideological clash and political tactics led to much friction between them, which resulted in vast outbreaks of violence.

During Alfonso Lopez Pumarejo’s term in office (1934-1938), the country experienced popular unrest over socioeconomic issues. Workers sought social reforms. Scattered instances of agrarian unrest were occurring throughout the country. These instances appeared in the form of peasant occupation of unused parts of private land, conflicts over land titles, and struggles over rent payment. Although limited to certain areas, the agrarian unrest showed the pressure of a growing rural population, and the then-existing pattern of land distribution severely curtailed the population’s ability to meet its needs.


21 See RABASA ET AL., COLOMBIAN LABYRINTH, supra note 1.
22 CENTER FOR JUSTICE AND ACCOUNTABILITY, supra note 6.
24 See id.; HUMAN RIGHTS WATCH, WORLD REPORT 2012, supra note 17; CENTER FOR JUSTICE AND ACCOUNTABILITY, supra note 6.
26 Id. at 91; BUSHNELL, supra note 3, at 181.
27 Leech, supra note 20.
28 See BUSHNELL, supra note 3, at 161-63.
29 Id. at 157; see also id. at 181-83.
30 Id. at 186.
31 TORRES DEL RÍO, supra note 25, at 118.
32 BUSHNELL, supra note 3, at 186.
33 Id.
34 See id.
The assassination of Jorge Eliécer Gaitán in 1948 caused a wave of violence in Bogotá. The Liberal leader was an avid supporter of agrarian and labor reforms. His murder led to a major uprising of the lower classes in Bogotá known as the Bogotazo. Violent outbreaks also occurred in other large cities and small liberal towns.

Peasant insurgency against the government continued when Laureano Gómez assumed the presidency in 1950. Approximately 4,000 armed peasants resisted the government politics and the attacks of the armed bands of Conservatives. The armed peasants formed the first nucleus of liberal guerrillas. The violence resulted in the forced displacement of civilians, including those who inhabited liberal towns in Boyacá, Santander, and North of Santander.

Gómez’s regime ended in 1953 when General Gustavo Rojas Pinilla seized power through a coup d’etat. Rojas Pinilla was highly supported, as he was in the position to bring La Violencia to an end. His initial efforts included reclaiming the property of landowners who were forced to flee and abandon their lands. In response, the armed peasants demanded an agrarian reform. In 1953, Rojas Pinilla created the Office for Rehabilitation and Relief to respond to peasant demands, and he issued an amnesty to the armed peasants in an effort to end La Violencia.

---

35 Id.
36 See TORRES DEL RÍO, supra note 25, at 123.
37 Id. at 192. The incidents following Gaitán’s death were more of a Colombiano than a Bogotazo, because the outbreaks and riots occurred throughout the country. Id.; see also BUSHNELL, supra note 3, at 202 (“The . . . term [el nueve de abril] is preferable, because Bogotazo focuses attention strictly on disturbances in the national capital, whereas in actuality what happened was a nationwide outburst, with scenes of violence repeated not only in other large cities but also in many small towns of heavy Liberal majority.”).
38 BUSHNELL, supra note 3, at 202.
39 TORRES DEL RÍO, supra note 25, at 198.
40 Id.
42 TORRES DEL RÍO, supra note 25, at 198.
43 BUSHNELL, supra note 3, at 215; see also TORRES DEL RÍO, supra note 25, at 221.
44 La Violencia was a period in which the country experienced outbursts of violence between 1946 and 1957. BUSHNELL, supra note 3, at 201. Between 100,000 and 200,000 individuals were murdered during this period. See, e.g., Mario Chacon et al., When is Democracy an Equilibrium? Theory and Evidence from Colombia’s “La Violencia”, 55 J. CONFLICT RESOL. 369 (2011).
45 BUSHNELL, supra note 3, at 215.
46 Leech, supra note 20.
47 Id.
48 Id.
Office did not fulfill the purpose of alleviating the agrarian problem. But despite not achieving its intended purpose, Rojas Pinilla’s actions had negative consequences on his popularity and support. They raised suspicion amongst Liberals and Conservatives, who deemed the President’s ineffective reform as an attempt to gain popular support. Ultimately, Rojas Pinilla’s efforts to end La Violencia were unsuccessful.

The political battle between Liberal and Conservative leaders over power ended in 1957 with the creation of the National Front. The National Front was an alliance between Liberals and Conservatives, in which both parties agreed to alternate between them the control over the executive branch for four terms, or sixteen years. The agreement ameliorated the political unrest, but the country faced the main consequence of the long conflict between the elite and the peasants: the new phenomenon of leftist guerrilla insurgency.

B. Rise of the Guerrillas

Guerrilla groups that originated in the mid-century violent era settled in areas of public land. In the 1950s and 1960s, the first wave of land colonization took place. Peasants joined guerrilla groups after guerrilla concealment of land parcels forced peasants out of their lands. Some groups settled in inhospitable places deemed public land in Magdalena, Cauca, and the eastern plains. Tolima was also the subject of armed colonization by the guerrilla group which later became the Fuerzas Armadas Revolucionarias de Colombia (FARC). Their colonization expanded, taking control over vast portions of land.

The guerrillas became infamous for committing dreadful atrocities as a strategy to gain control. In October 1998, for example, the Ejército de

49 See id.
50 Id.
51 BUSHNELL, supra note 3, at 222.
52 See id. at 223; see also THE BLACK BOOK OF THE REPRESSON, supra note 41.
54 Id.
55 BUSHNELL, supra note 3, at 223.
56 Id.
58 Id.
59 See, e.g., id.
60 Id.
61 Id. at 199.
62 Id.
63 Alexandra De La Asuncion, comment, Colombia: The Ignored Humanitarian Crisis, 31 U.
Liberación Nacional (“ELN”) bombed a pipeline at night. The oil and gases from the bombing extended to a nearby community, igniting sixty-four houses and killing seventy-three sleeping innocents. The purpose behind the bombing of the pipeline was to collect money through extortion, and to express its opposition to the country’s dealings with multinational companies. In December of the same year, the FARC attacked a community it suspected was in support of paramilitaries. The FARC killed women and children, while torturing, decapitating, and castrating men. The murders, kidnappings, and other abuses by the guerrillas have forced the internal displacement of affected victims.

C. Rise of the Paramilitaries

Landowners created paramilitary counterinsurgent groups in the 1980s. These groups originated with the purpose of resisting the guerrillas. They launched offensives against the guerrillas and anyone in support of the guerrillas.

The paramilitaries were declared illegal in 1989. Despite their illegality, the Colombian Army has supported paramilitary groups with training and logistical support. The Army has tolerated the gruesome acts by paramilitaries, and it has failed to protect innocent civilians. It also has, in conjunction with paramilitaries, launched several offensives against guerrillas, resulting in the brutal murder of innocent civilians.

The paramilitaries have been the perpetrators of egregious human
rights abuses. They have tortured, murdered, and displaced combatants, peasants, indigenous people, Afro-Colombians, religious leaders, and trade unionists among others. Numerous massacres have been charged to them. In July 1997, for example, a group of paramilitaries killed thirty people during a five-day massacre. Some of their victims were cut to death with machetes, while others were decapitated with chainsaws. The bodies, some still alive, were dumped into a nearby river. Confidential paramilitary sources later revealed that the Colombian Army had been involved in the planning of the massacre.

Another example of human rights abuses perpetrated by paramilitaries in conjunction with the Colombian Army is the 1997 attack in the region of Urabá. The Afro-Colombian leader Marino Lopez Mena was brutally murdered during the attack. After decapitating Lopez Mena, the paramilitaries played soccer with his head. As a result of these human rights violations, innocent civilians have been forcibly displaced.

D. The Conflict in the Twenty-First Century

The election of Álvaro Uribe Vélez brought significant changes to the conflict. His administration was proactive in efforts to end the violence, achieving great success. But despite all efforts and positive outcomes of Uribe Vélez’s administration, the violence in Colombia persists.
III. INTERNAL DISPLACEMENT

The internal conflict has been the principal cause of forced displacement from its beginning.\footnote{See TORRES DEL RÍO, supra note 25, at 198.} Fifty years into the conflict, peasants remain the most affected individuals.\footnote{See CODHES, CODHES INFORMS 2011, supra note 23, at 1; Marc Hanson, Refugees International, Colombia: Transformational Change Must Include Urban IDPS, REFUGÉES INTERNATIONAL (Sept. 12, 2012), http://refugeesinternational.org/policy/field-report/Colombia-transformational-change-must-include-urban-idps.} Millions of peasants have been forcibly displaced.\footnote{See HANSON, supra note 91.} The activities and abuses by the guerrillas, the paramilitaries, and the Colombian Army have forced peasants to leave their homes behind in search for the safety of the cities.\footnote{CODHES, CODHES INFORMS 2011, supra note 23, at 1-2.}
The map illustrates the principal areas of expulsion of displaced individuals. It also shows the municipalities that housed seventy-three percent of the displaced population between 2004 and 2006. The red area ("Municipios que combinan mayor cantidad de población desplazada y mayor intensidad de desplazamiento") highlights the municipalities with the most displaced individuals and areas with the highest rates of displacement.

The green area (“Municipios que registran solamente mayor cantidad de población desplazada”) highlights municipalities that report only high numbers of displaced individuals. Last, the blue area (“Municipios que registran solamente mayor intensidad de desplazamiento”) highlights municipalities that report only high rates of displacement.

In 2011 alone, an estimated 259,146 individuals were displaced, escaping intimidation and violence. Of these, about 29,521 individuals were forced out of their towns in crowds in seventy-three different instances of massive displacement. Between 1985 and 2011, approximately 5.5 million people had been displaced. Over ninety percent of these individuals found refuge in urban areas.

Internally displaced persons are three times more likely to live in poverty and five times more likely to live in extreme poverty than the average Colombian. Accustomed to a rural life, they struggle to make a living in urban areas. Their income is thirty percent less than the income of non-displaced individuals living within the same area. As a consequence of displacement, inter-generational poverty results, because displacement forces children to delay or suspend their education.

Internally displaced persons usually live in urban areas of high-risk. These areas, which are overcrowded with houses and susceptible to natural disasters such as floods, have restricted access to public services. The levels of violence are extremely high. Women and young girls are often exposed to sexual abuses, while young men are forced to join illegally armed groups with presence or dominion over marginalized neighborhoods.

IV. THE LAW OF VICTIMS AND LAND RESTITUTION

In response to the situation of millions of internally displaced individuals, the government enacted the Law of Victims and Land


\[\text{Id. at 5.}
\]

\[\text{Id. at 5; HANSON, supra note 91.}
\]

\[\text{Id.}
\]

\[\text{See id.}
\]

\[\text{Id.}
\]

\[\text{Id.}
\]

\[\text{See id.}
\]

\[\text{Id.}
\]

\[\text{Id.}
\]

\[\text{Id.}
\]
Restitution in June 10, 2011. The Law of Victims intends to achieve reconciliation between the conflict victims and the National Government, and reestablish the victims’s lost confidence in the government. It seeks to reinstate the conflict victims to their condition prior to facing the violations listed under the Law. Also, it provides a framework that recognizes the rights of the conflict victims, and gives them priority over the benefits the government affords. The framework establishes a set of judicial, administrative, economic, and social standards to achieve its ends.

A. Who is a Victim under the Law

The Law of Victims defines as victims those individuals who suffered human rights violations in connection with the armed conflict. Human rights violations under the Law include: murder; displacement; disappearance; dispossession of lands; kidnapping; false positives, and other attacks against civilians; sexual abuses, and other crimes against sexual integrity; and victims of landmines, and any other illegal war strategy. The perpetrators of the violations must be the guerrillas, paramilitaries, or the Colombian Army. The Law of Victims limits its protection to victims harmed after January 1, 1985.

The definition of victim includes spouses and permanent partners, including same-sex partners. It also includes family members of harmed individuals that are in first degree of consanguinity, or first degree of affinity, when the direct victim was assassinated or went missing. If no relative of first degree is identified, relatives of ascending second degree will be considered victims. The definition of victims also covers any individual harmed during efforts to prevent victimization or in assisting an actual victim in danger.
Members of the armed groups are not considered victims, unless the member renounced any association to the group while he was a minor. 120 Spouses, partners, or relatives of members of armed groups are considered victims, but not indirect victims of the violent acts committed by these groups. 121 Victims of ordinary crimes are not considered victims for the purposes of the Law. 122

B. Measures for Relief

The Law of Victims offers the following measures for relief, respectively.

1. Land Restitution

The first form of relief the Law of Victims provides is the restitution of land. 123 Under the Law, the government will reinstate property title or possession to the victims. 124 It will make efforts to obtain the land the victims were displaced of. 125 In case the restitution of land is not feasible, due to impossibility in obtaining the land, or because the victim’s return will endanger her life or personal integrity, alternative equivalent measures are offered to the victim. 126 These measures include a grant of land in a different location with characteristics that are similar to those of the property lost. 127 The victim will receive monetary compensation for her land, only if all of the foregoing measures are unfeasible. 128 Monetary compensation will not exceed the land value appraised during the restitution process. 129

The Law of Victims is not limited to the return of parcels of land; it also provides for restitution of homestead. 130 Victims, whose homes have been damaged by abandonment, dispossession, or any type of loss, have priority over home subsidies for the construction of, improvements to, and the acquisition of new homes. 131 Those who return to their original

---

120 Id. art. 3, ¶ 2.
121 Id.
122 Id. art. 3, ¶ 3.
123 Id. art. 72.
124 Id.
125 Id.
126 See id.
127 Id.
128 Id.
129 Id. art. 98.
130 Id. art. 123.
131 Id.
properties will have priority in receiving home subsidies.  

2. Indemnification

The Law of Victims indemnifies victims depending on the severity of the victimizing act and the number of household members. After considering these factors, the government determines the amount the victim is entitled to. Victims receive their indemnification through any of the following: (1) integral land subsidies; (2) home replacement; (3) land acquisition and adjudication; (4) lot adjudication and entitlement for displaced individuals; (5) home subsidies for improvements, repairs, or construction; or (6) home subsidies for acquisition, improvement, or construction of new homes. Any monies the victims received as administrative indemnification prior to the enactment of the Law of Victims will be deducted from the total amount that the Law of Victims entitles them to.

3. Rehabilitation

Rehabilitation consists of different legal, medical, psychological, and social programs, aimed at the recovery of victims, who suffered psychological or physical harm. The programs will provide for psychological and medical treatment to the victims. The length of psycho-social support will vary, based on the needs of the victim.

C. Education

The Law of Victims requires academic institutions to take all necessary measures to ensure access and exemption of all educational costs to the victims. The Law of Victims includes only basic education. Victims who can afford costs of education are not entitled to receive these

---

132 Id.
133 Id. art. 132.
134 Id.
135 Id. art. 132, ¶ 3.
138 Id. arts. 137-38.
139 Id. art. 136.
140 Id. art. 51.
141 Id.
The Law of Victims mandates that post-secondary institutions establish selection, admission, and matriculation processes to facilitate the victims’ accessibility to their programs. Priority is given to female heads of families, incapacitated individuals, and adolescents.

D. Measures for Protection of the Victims

Pursuant to the Law of Victims, law enforcement agencies must adopt necessary measures to protect the victims, witnesses, and government officials involved in judicial and administrative processes for reparation and land restitution. The Law of Victims recognizes that these individuals are susceptible to risks of danger. As such, it requires law enforcement officials to establish preventive measures to mitigate the risk of danger.

V. CHALLENGES TO THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE LAW

The Law of Victims benefits six million campesinos, or peasant farm workers, who have fallen victim to the internal conflict and have faced internal displacement. The Law is a groundbreaker because it offers a great deal of unprecedented benefits. It is significantly descriptive in creating quasi-judicial and other administrative agencies to effectuate its objectives. Nonetheless, the Law is problematic, for it is too ambitious and faces substantial implementation challenges. The Law is ideal for a post-conflict environment, yet Colombia’s internal conflict is far from over. Despite successful efforts in demobilizing thousands of members of armed groups, and in diminishing cocaine production, violence persists in the country. The ongoing violence, together with sequels of the conflict, is the main impediment to the implementation of the law.

The challenges and difficulties the Law of Victims faces can make it an ineffective method to restore the victims. Among the rights it sets

---

142 Id.
143 See id.
144 Id.
145 Id. art. 31.
146 Id.
147 Id. art. 31, ¶ 2.
149 HUMAN RIGHTS WATCH, WORLD REPORT 2012, supra note 17.
150 North, supra note 87.
151 Maria Cano Roldán & Octavio Augusto Caro Garzón, Las Grandes Dificultades de la Reparación Administrativa de lasVictimas de la Violencia en Colombia: Algunos Comentarios Respecto a la Implementación del Decreto 1290 de 2008 [The Great Difficulties of the Administrative
forth, the Law of Victims promises the “integral reparation” of the victims.\footnote{Law of Victims, L. 1448/11, junio 10, 2011, 40.096 DIARIO OFICIAL [D.O.], art. 25; see also ABC of the Law of Victims and Land Restitution, supra note 11.} And, as part of the integral reparation, it includes the right to land restitution, which seeks to place the victims in their position prior to falling victim to the conflict.\footnote{Id.} It also establishes the “warranty of no repetition,”\footnote{Law of Victims, L. 1448/11, junio 10, 2011, 40.096 DIARIO OFICIAL [D.O.], arts. 1, 25.} which guarantees to the victims that they will not be subjects of second instances of the same crime.\footnote{See id.} The challenges the Law faces make these rights of an enunciative efficacy nature because they impede the law from achieving its objectives.\footnote{See Cano Roldán et al., supra note 151, at 476. The effectiveness of a law can be measured through the standards for instrumental efficacy or symbolic efficacy. Id. A law achieves instrumental efficacy when the legal aspect of the law is linked to its social aspect. Id. Furthermore, the law must have clear and definite goals, which can be achieved so long as the framework created to achieve them is carried out. Id.}

In contrast, a law acquires mere symbolic efficacy when no connection exists between the specific goals of the law, the law’s framework to achieve those goals, and the actual results. \footnote{Id. at 477. In this case, the law becomes nothing more than a legal order seeking to achieve its goals through an idea. Id. Symbolic efficacy also takes place when a law dictates only a social obligation. Id. It calls for “doing something” that “will serve to create a representation in the public opinion,” rather than imposing a specific conduct or procedure. Id.} There are two types of symbolic efficacy: enunciative efficacy and argumentative efficacy. Id. Enunciative efficacy takes its form in laws which goals are not achieved due to lack of performance or application. Id. The instrumental object of the law is never achieved; thus, it only accomplishes a symbolic objective. Id. A law is of an argumentative efficacy nature when no link is formed between its specific goals and the results. Id. The law is successful in achieving other goals that are different from those it directed. Id. Thus, the law is deemed effective but not efficacious. Id. Argumentative efficacy also occurs when the individuals applying the law (i.e., the judiciary and administrative authorities) are not in accord with the agency creating the law (i.e., Congress). Id. In this case, the law serves a political use, where its objectives are not carried out. Id.

A. Social Inequality and Lack of Effective Measures for Economic Stabilization

The environment of socioeconomic inequality, in which the internal conflict originated, persists in Colombia. The high concentration of land ownership was one of the leading causes of the internal conflict.\textsuperscript{160} To date, Colombia has an unequal pattern of land ownership.\textsuperscript{161} This distribution of lands has created an agrarian structure of two contrasting forms, where a small number of individuals hold ownership of the vast majority of productive land.\textsuperscript{162} Such distribution has resulted in inequalities that are economic, social, and political in nature.\textsuperscript{163} It has also restrained rural development.\textsuperscript{164}

The main challenge to the socioeconomic stabilization of the returning victims is the high concentration of land ownership, because the Law does nothing to change the unequal pattern of land tenure.\textsuperscript{165} Restitution of lands differs from a land reform with regard to land tenure.\textsuperscript{166} A land reform changes the pattern of land tenure.\textsuperscript{167} Restitution returns previously owned lands, whether the plots are large or small. On the contrary, a land reform, appropriates large tracts of land, or \textit{latifundios}, which are owned by few, and redistributes them amongst a higher number of individuals in small to medium plots.\textsuperscript{168} This redistribution has the tendency of curing socioeconomic inequalities in rural areas.\textsuperscript{169}

The inability of small plot farmers to benefit from their lands is associated with the unequal distribution of land tenure.\textsuperscript{170} Agricultural policy generally harms the productivity of small plot farms, even though small plot farms are more productive than large farms per hectare.\textsuperscript{171} Small plot farmers are at a disadvantage because they lack machinery, education, and political connections, and current government policies favor large

\textsuperscript{160} Rodriguez, supra note 14.
\textsuperscript{161} Attanasio et al., supra note 14, at 44.
\textsuperscript{162} Rodriguez, supra note 14.
\textsuperscript{163} Id.
\textsuperscript{164} Id.; see also Attanasio et al., supra note 14, at 4.
\textsuperscript{165} Attanasio et al., supra note 14, at 4, 11; see also Rodriguez, supra note 14.
\textsuperscript{167} Id.
\textsuperscript{168} Id.
\textsuperscript{170} Attanasio et al., supra note 14, at 11.
\textsuperscript{171} Id.
farms. Further, large farmers are in a better position to adjust their prices and to respond to income inequalities. Absent social support, small plot farmers have limited access to farm equipment, new agricultural techniques, and markets.

The lack of means affects land productivity and, consequently, the victims’s socioeconomic advancement. Handing lands to inexperienced farm workers is fatal to agricultural production. The victims need knowledge or experience in agriculture or animal husbandry to make their lands productive. Title IV of the Decree 4800 attempts to resolve this issue through the creation of programs for education, training, and professional development. However, these programs lack effective coordination. In addition, in order to function on a sound basis from the outset, the victims will also need access to subsidies, equipment, and markets, among other things. The socioeconomic advancement of the victims is at stake unless the government provides the victims with adequate means to take advantage of their lands. Also, stagnant or decreasing land productivity restrains rural development. Rural development is another outcome expected from the implementation of the Law of Victims.

Other factors are also contributing to the obstruction of the victims’s ability to take advantage of their lands. For example, forced displacement brought along the abandonment of the lands. From

---

172 Id.
173 See Wilson et al., supra note 169.
174 Attanasio et al., supra note 14, at 11.
175 See id.; Wilson et al., supra note 169.
176 See Wilson et al., supra note 169.
177 See, e.g., id.
179 Attanasio et al., supra note 14, at 48.
180 See Wilson et al., supra note 169.
181 See id.; see also Attanasio et al., supra note 14, at 4, 11.
182 See Wilson et al., supra note 169.
185 Id.; see also Aura Patricia Bolívar Jaime, Land Restitution: Is it a Trap?, DEJUSTICIA (Colom.) (Nov. 22, 2012), available at
approximately ten million hectares of displaced land, about four million hectares remained abandoned and unattended in 2012.\textsuperscript{186} The abandonment of the lands has made them unsuitable for livelihood and for production.\textsuperscript{187} This situation hinders the ability of the victims to work their land and earn an income.\textsuperscript{188} The lands must be “civilized” before they can become productive.\textsuperscript{189} However, the cost of “civilizing” one hectare of land is at least three million pesos or $1,679 dollars, a cost that most victims living in poverty cannot afford.\textsuperscript{190}

In certain cases where victims sold their lands to escape violence, succeeding owners reforested the lands and planted non-native species.\textsuperscript{191} This has had a grave environmental impact on the lands.\textsuperscript{192} In Montes de Maria, for instance, the non-native eucalyptus has invaded the lands.\textsuperscript{193} The invasion of these trees has caused native animals to migrate in search for food.\textsuperscript{194} These species, which include iguanas, wolves, and squirrels, depend on native plants for their survival.\textsuperscript{195} The environmental impact results in loss of productivity of the land and, consequently, inhibits the victims’s ability to depend on their land for livelihood, and to work it for income.\textsuperscript{196}

As a result of the foregoing issues, the Law of Victims, along with the supplementing framework for rural development that the Decree 4800 attempts to establish, could achieve only symbolic efficacy.\textsuperscript{197} The Law is in danger of becoming a total failure unless the government implements an effective framework to ensure a source of income for the victims upon their return.\textsuperscript{198} If governmental efforts fail, the victims will be victimized again, and the government’s obligation to restitute the victims and guarantee their enjoyment of rights would increase.\textsuperscript{199}
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B. The Ongoing Internal Conflict

As part of its objectives to achieve the victims’s’ “integral reparation,” the Law of Victims provides a warranty of no repetition. The Law promises that the victims will not face the same abuses again, including forced displacement. The Law establishes measures to protect the victims and prevent their re-victimization. However, the internal conflict in Colombia is far from over. The conflict poses the most difficult challenge to the implementation of the Law of Victims and its warranty of no repetition. So long as the violence persists, the warranty of no repetition will become a complete failure, achieving only symbolic efficacy.

The presence of armed groups in areas intended for restitution prevents the restitution of lands and the fulfillment of the warranty of no repetition. Existing and newly armed groups continue to displace peasant farm workers, making it extremely difficult for the government to guarantee that the victims will not be subjected to displacement again. Although former President Álvaro Uribe Vélez engaged in successful efforts to demobilize the armed groups, successor groups continue to commit atrocious crimes, forcing the displacement of civilians. In Maria La Baja, for example, paramilitary successor groups broke into six homes between April 2011 and January 2012. The armed men held the victims in captivity and stole property from their homes. They also forced
women to wash their clothes and serve them before raping eleven of them.\footnote{213} The victimized families fled their homes after the abuses and invasions.\footnote{214} Additionally, the guerrillas have also been the perpetrators of abuses against peasant farm workers.\footnote{215} The guerrillas have been involved in extortion; killings; forced recruitment of civilians, including children; confinement of communities; and forced displacement.\footnote{216}

The enactment of the Law of Victims brought along a new wave of illegally armed groups, whose purpose is to resist its implementation.\footnote{217} These groups are known as the \textit{Ejércitos Anti-Restitución}, or “Anti-Restitution Armies.”\footnote{218} Large landowners are the main financers of these insurgent groups.\footnote{219} Landowners who fund these groups are often subject to investigations for illegal acquirement of land title.\footnote{220} These landowners are attempting to keep their illegally acquired lands by impeding the return of lands to the rightful owners.\footnote{221}

Lumber, mining, and cement companies, among others, are also involved in Anti-Restitution Armies.\footnote{222} The companies and landowners employ legal and illegal tactics to appropriate the \textit{campesinos}'s lands.\footnote{223} These include: the appropriation of lands that \textit{campesinos} lack title to; the prevention of the \textit{campesinos}' access to life-sustaining resources, such as water and markets; pressuring the \textit{campesinos} into selling their lands; and employing former illegal groups to threaten the \textit{campesinos} and to commit
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abuses against them.

In Montes de María, where the United States and Colombian governments have declared that security is restored, large companies have been seizing the campesinos’s land and forcing their displacement since 2008. These companies are employing paramilitary successor groups as security guards and enforcers. Campesinos who bring up the issue to the company’s agents or authorized personnel are subject to death threats. For instance, a campesino leader from Montes de María revealed that he began receiving death threats after complaining with the manager of a lumber company about the company’s activities. The company was cutting off the campesinos’s access to the road that leads to the market where the campesinos sell their produce. “More threats are coming from the lumber company directly than from the illegal groups, though the two are linked,” he explained.

Threats against the victims are a growing concern as well as an interference with the implementation of the Law. The government is not providing the victims with adequate protection, as promised by the Law. And without the necessary protection, “even the very institutions intended to return land to victims . . . [could] become generators of new dangers and new displacements.” In the municipality of Montería, some victims have received threats after filing a claim for land restitution. Perpetrators approached victims immediately after filing their claims, threatening them, and demanding they desist from proceeding with the
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claims. They pressured the victims into signing documents and offered them about $1,200 to dismiss the claims. If the victims declined, the perpetrators threatened the victims, telling them that their refusal would result in “serious consequences.”

Illegally armed groups, and those who oppose land restitution, have also made threats to members of non-governmental organizations (“NGOs”) and leaders of land claimants, with the purpose of interfering with the implementation of the Law of Victims. Between 2010 and 2012, members of illegally armed groups have killed over twenty-five leaders who campaigned for the return of displaced victims to their lands. Jairo Mejia Martinez, a former member of the FARC and a land restitution leader, was brutally murdered in his backyard in June 2012. The death threats against leaders increased after this attack. On October 2, 2012, members of the Anti-Restitution Army sent an email to several NGOs that read: “[d]eath to all members of associations, like the snitches who work in the restitution of lands.” The same day, they sent yet another death threat via text message to land restitution leaders in Bolivar.

Colombia’s internal conflict is far from over. The existing insurgent groups, and the emergence of the new Anti-Restitution armies, impede the success of the warranty of no repetition, as well as the implementation of
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the Law of Victims itself.\textsuperscript{246} The Law of Victims has created a new wave of violence, resulting in killings and death threats against the victims and land restitution leaders.\textsuperscript{247} So long as the internal conflict and violence persists in Colombia, the warranty of no repetition will achieve nothing more than symbolic efficacy. Such warranty is ideal for a post-conflict environment, but the eradication of the internal conflict is currently unforeseeable in Colombia.

C. The Landmine Problem

By October 2012, thirty-seven percent of reported victims who filed a claim for land restitution were displaced by the FARC.\textsuperscript{248} The Minister of Agriculture and Rural Development, Juan Camilo Restrepo, revealed that at least seventy percent of the lands that were once under FARC control are infected with antipersonnel mines.\textsuperscript{249} The presence of landmines presents a
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substantial impediment to the implementation of the Law for the following reasons: it prevents restitution of the identified contaminated lands; it threatens the safety of the victims, devalues their lands, and decreases agricultural productivity; and it imposes a severe burden upon the government to clear out the minefields.\textsuperscript{250}

Restitution is not possible until the lands are cleared of landmines and declared safe.\textsuperscript{251} In 2012, the government had not surveyed all areas it suspected to be contaminated, thus ignoring the extent of landmine contamination.\textsuperscript{252} Clearing landmines to ensure their suitability for habitation will delay the victims’ return to their lands.\textsuperscript{253} Assuming that the government restitutes unsurveyed lands, victims will likely refuse to return after discovering that their lands may be infected, or may have been infected with landmines.\textsuperscript{254}

Milciades Garcia, for example, is a campesino who was forced to sell a large tract of productive land for less than $6,000 dollars in the midst of violent attacks.\textsuperscript{255} His previously owned 19.5 hectares of land in Montes de María became attractive to the FARC for its varied elevations and close proximity to bodies of water.\textsuperscript{256} The FARC committed multiple atrocities on his land.\textsuperscript{257} They kidnapped and killed two of Milciades’s brothers and several of his friends, including a close friend who Milciades found dead.\textsuperscript{258} The FARC also planted landmines in a portion of Milciades’s land that was abandoned due to the violence.\textsuperscript{259} The landmines were planted strategically to prevent the Colombian Army from entering into Milciades’s lands.\textsuperscript{260} Milciades witnessed a horse “exploding” and a soldier “reduced to pieces”
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on his land after stepping on landmines.  He affirms that he will not return to his former land under any circumstances.

The presence of landmines further prevents adequate restitution of the victims by decreasing the value of the victims’s lands. Like Milciades, many victims refuse to return to lands once in control of the FARC after they learn about the presence of landmines. Similarly, the presence of landmines will disinterest potential land buyers once the Law of Victims allows the sale of the lands. The total value of lands abandoned by forced displacement was approximately $3.7 million dollars in 2009. This value will dramatically decrease, as the decrease in demand for lands results in a decrease in their value.

Landmines not only affect the land value, but also the productivity of the lands, which ultimately has a detrimental effect on the reparation of the victims. The Law of Victims aims for the transformation of the land market and the improvement of rural areas, which will generate a source of income for the victims. Nonetheless, the existence of landmines hinders such development. Land cannot be cultivated where landmines are planted. Mine fields can obstruct agricultural areas of economic activity. They can also block other areas that are crucial to the support or furtherance of any economic activity. For instance, landmines can block roads and highways, cattle and agricultural fields, and water sources regularly used for agricultural purposes, or by the cattle industry. The loss of land productivity, which results from landmines, accounts for ten to eighteen percent of the total expenditure that the country bears in connection with the insurgency’s use of landmines. Thus, even if the victim opts to return to her land, the presence of landmines within her territory, or in nearby areas, will interfere with the productivity of the land

261 Id.
262 Id.
263 See, e.g., id.
265 See Lahuerta, supra note 249, at 27-32.
266 Uprimny-Yepes, supra note 183, at 308.
267 See generally Lahuerta, supra note 249.
268 ACNUR, LANDMINE AND CLUSTER MUNITION MONITOR, LANDMINE MONITOR 2012, supra note 252, at iii.
269 Lahuerta, supra note 249, at 27.
270 Id.
271 See id.
272 Id. at 38.
and obstruct the economic advancement of the victim.

More importantly, those who opt for returning to unknowingly contaminated lands are exposed to great danger. Individuals can easily fall victim to landmines. Injuries caused by landmines range from mild injuries to death. Landmine casualties occur in high rates in Colombia. Landmine injuries result in devastating effects to the victims, who often lose limbs. It also increases the government’s cost in health care and victims’ assistance. Further, landmine injuries result in costs related to the loss of productivity of human capital. Such injuries cause the diminution or loss of productive capacity of landmine victims who are physically impaired and prevented from having a normal working life.

Last, the presence of landmines obstructs the implementation of the Law of Victims because the government faces the burden of demining the victims’s lands. It drastically increases government expenses aimed at efforts to clear out minefields. In some departments, the local governments have already complained about the lack of funds to properly execute the restitution plan. With the presence of landmines, the government will incur additional costs that range between $200 and $1,000 for the removal of a single landmine.

The guerrillas continue to plant landmines on a regular basis in areas where they retain control. In September 2011, the Colombian Army found 1,961 mines in the department of Putumayo, which had been planted by the FARC. In February 2012, the Army seized forty-eight “fan mines” from the FARC in the department of Caquetá.

The FARC continues its use of landmines for the purposes of instilling
terror and threatening communities. In 2011, for example, the explosion of mines on the only road that leads to Samaniego, Nariño cut off the access to the town. About a year later, in August 2012, the FARC distributed pamphlets in the Putumayo town of San Miguel, informing the community of the presence of landmines around the area. The threat resulted in the confinement of the community.

Approximately 328 people fell victim to landmines in 2012. Out of these, fifty-four were killed, including eleven children. By the end of the year, the Army had confiscated 3,882 kilograms of explosives in Putumayo, an amount sufficient to assemble over 10,000 explosive devices. The armed groups’s continued use of landmines obstructs the land restitution process and the integral reparation of the victims.

The government initiated its efforts of demining fields after it ratified the Ottawa Treaty. Efforts to remove landmines in areas planted by the military have proved somewhat successful. Twenty-two out of thirty-three military bases were demined by 2009. However, efforts in clearing out mines remain in their early stages. Trained deminers are scarce in Colombia. Also, the government still ignores the extent of the territory that is contaminated with landmines. Only few maps indicating the contaminated areas exist. The government possesses information indicating that thirty-one of the thirty-two departments are contaminated and it estimates that 660 municipalities are affected. However, all suspected areas have yet to be surveyed. This lack of information on the
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extent of contaminated areas hinders the government’s ability to create an operational demining plan. Pursuant to its obligations under Article Five of the Mine Ban Treaty, the government did not meet its 2011 deadline to demine all contaminated areas in the country. It requested a ten-year extension to meet its deadline, and anticipates that all areas will be cleared out by then. Colombia created a 2011-2013 operational plan, which was the central component of the request for extension. But, given the slow progress of the current operational plan, meeting the extended deadline is unlikely.

So long as landmines are still present in the lands of the victims, restitution of these lands is impossible. The government may proceed with the restitution process in unsurveyed areas, but many victims will likely refuse to return once they are aware of the possibility of landmine contamination. Even if victims agree to return, the landmines diminish the productivity of their lands, making it difficult for them to thrive financially upon their return.

VI. RECOMMENDATIONS

In the interest of the victims of Colombia’s internal conflict, the Law of Victims must be implemented in spite of its substantial challenges. The internal conflict in Colombia originated from the economic and social inequalities that resulted from the unequal distribution of land, and the campesinos fought, and continue to fight, for land reform. Fifty years later, the campesinos remain the most affected group as a result of the ongoing conflict. Thus, it is imperative that the government adopt effective measures to overcome challenges to the implementation of the Law of Victims in order to achieve the “integral reparation” of the campesinos.

The proposed measures include: (1) effective implementation of programs for the socioeconomic stabilization of the victims; (2) greater protection to those involved in the process of land restitution and to communities at risk of displacement; and (3) the improvement of demining efforts in areas intended for restitution.

First, overcoming the challenge of granting victims integral reparation by means of ensuring their socioeconomic stabilization upon return poses a tremendous difficulty. The Law does not change the unequal distribution of
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Implementing a program that does nothing to cure such inequality will altogether fail. Although the enactment of the Law of Victims strives for progressive change, it serves as the government’s shield to continue to reject land reform. A land restitution program that does nothing to modify the unequal distribution of land tenure is less satisfactory than land reform. But, given the current political situation in Colombia, a program for land restitution is probably the only approach the government will adopt in relation to land repatriation.

To alleviate the problem of inequality arising from the current distribution of land tenure, the government can complement restitution with land grants that will positively affect the current pattern of land distribution. The effectiveness of this plan depends upon the government’s ability to acquire the lands to be distributed, through grants. In order to ensure that the victims make the most of their lands, the government must effectively oversee its programs. The Law of Victims, along with its supplementing decrees, creates training programs, grants, and credits aimed at the socioeconomic stabilization of the victims upon return to their lands. Crucial to the success in achieving socioeconomic stabilization of the victims is the effective implementation and monitoring of these programs.

It is important that the victims’s return is incentivized, and not forced. If the victims are forced to return, the government will achieve the exact opposite of integral reparation. For example, in the event that a bona fide owner occupies land intended for restitution, the Law of Victims requires that the owner be paid the value of his land. The government must then return the land to the victim. Yet the victim might prefer to receive payment for the value of the land rather than take possession of the

305 See Attanasio et al., supra note 14, at 46.
306 Id.
307 Id.
308 See id.
309 Id. at 47.
310 Id. at 48.
311 See L. 1448/11, junio 10, 2011, 40.096 DIOARIO OFICIAL [D.O.], arts. 51, 130-31; L. 4800/11, diciembre 20, 2011, DIARIO OFICIAL [D.O.], Title IV, Chp. I.
314 Id.
In a survey conducted in 2004, only ten percent of victims expressed a desire to return to their lands. However, the Law of Victims does not provide victims with this alternative. It grants monetary compensation only in the event that the government cannot acquire the victim’s land and there are no alternative lands with similar characteristics that can be conveyed to the victim. In like manner, the Law of Victims precludes victims from selling the land within two years after restitution. In such event, the implementation of the Law of Victims is not achieving justice or integral reparation, for it is subjecting the victim to return to her land against her will.

Integral reparation requires the government to take into consideration the victims’s desires in implementing the restitution program, which is viable without bearing additional execution costs. The solution entails offering the victims an alternative option. For instance, the government can put the victim on notice that her land is under the possession of an owner who obtained the land through legal means. Once the government assesses the cost of the land and determines that the current possessor is a bona fide owner, it can offer the victim the option to choose payment over possession of the land. This way, the victim’s desires are taken into account, while bearing no additional expenses for land restitution.

Second, the Law of Victims provides a warranty of no repetition, which guarantees to the victims that they will not be subjects of a second instance of forced displacement. However, making such a utopian warranty deviated from the reality in Colombia, where the internal conflict persists. So long as the internal conflict persists in Colombia, achieving such warranty is not feasible. The warranty of no repetition is ideal for a post-conflict environment and, since the conflict is far from over, it achieves pure symbolic significance on its face.
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While the government cannot guarantee the non-recurrence of forced displacement, it can nonetheless adopt measures to better protect the victims, land restitution leaders, and communities at risk of displacement from violence. Further, it can afford greater protection to victims initiating their land claims. Land claimants are being threatened and intimidated. As a result, many of them refrain from proceeding with their claims. Thus, the government must provide individualized protection to the victims receiving threats in connection with their claims for lands.

For example, the government can establish protection plans and consult with returning communities, or communities at risk of displacement, to incorporate their specific needs into the plan. In the case of returning or returned communities, the Ministry of Interior should create an emergency reaction protocol to be implemented by local authorities. In requiring local authorities to provide twenty-four hour protection to returning or returned communities, local authorities are engaged in the restitution process.

As to communities at risk of displacement, the protection plan that is specially formulated to address their particular needs should include investigations of threats and abuses. In addition, the protection plan should establish an army patrol along the boundaries of the community. The plan should also include measures for investing in rural development projects that are community-based, and measures to ensure adequate access to the community through roads or bridges. The government must also (properly and rapidly) recognize and legalize land title.

Twenty-four hour protection is also necessary for land restitution leaders who are often the subjects of death threats and victims of murder. The murders of sixty-seven land restitution leaders remain unpunished. The threats, attacks, and murders must be promptly investigated and prosecuted. Only prompt investigations and prosecutions can deter subsequent attacks.

Lastly, the government should improve its demining efforts in areas
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intended for restitution. It should survey these areas to determine whether they are contaminated with landmines in order to establish an operational plan.\footnote{Demining efforts have been hindered by the lack of information regarding the affected mine areas. Moloney, supra note 17, at 2014; Landmine and Cluster Munition Monitor, Colombia: Mine Ban Policy, supra note 203; Landmine and Cluster Munition Monitor, Landmine Monitor 2012, supra note 252, at 25. The government, aided by international and non-governmental organizations, has yet to survey all suspected areas. \textit{Id.}} Once the affected areas are properly identified, the government should prioritize these areas in its operational plan and conduct the survey. Demining platoons should mark and fence the zones planted with landmines in the interim between identification and demining, in order to ensure the exclusion of potential victims, as soon as the affected areas are properly identified.\footnote{See Human Rights Watch, Maiming the People: Guerilla Use of Antipersonnel Landmines and Other Indiscriminate Weapons in Colombia 3 (2007), available at http://www.hrw.org/sites/default/files/reports/colombia0707webwcover.pdf.}

Such efforts should also be aimed at areas where communities are at risk of displacement. Injuries resulting from landmines force victims to flee their homes.\footnote{See, e.g., \textit{Id.} at 12.} Thus, preventive measures are essential to avoid further displacement. Upon surveying and fencing contaminated areas, the government should inform civilians in nearby communities of the presence of landmines.\footnote{See \textit{id.} at 3.}

The burden of overcoming the landmine problem is substantial, since demining programs are expensive.\footnote{See Ana Maria Arango Dominguez, Colombia’s Landmine Crisis, \textit{Humanitarian Practice} Network (HPN) (2009), available at http://www.odihpn.org/humanitarian-exchange-magazine/issue-45/colombias-landmine-crisis.} Also, these efforts are being conducted while the armed groups continue to plant landmines.\footnote{See \textit{id.}} Demining efforts across the entire country may be moving slowly, and the set deadlines are unlikely to be met.\footnote{See Landmine and Cluster Munition Monitor, Colombia: Mine Ban Policy, supra note 203.} Nevertheless, implementing an effective operational plan that prioritizes the areas intended for restitution or at risk of displacement is feasible.

In 2011, Colombia declared the mine clearance of seventy-four areas covering 329,950 m$^2$ where the Army is not actively engaged in operations against armed groups.\footnote{See \textit{id.}} Nine municipalities, which comprised these areas, were cleared and declared safe in one year. Also, the government counts on the aid of international and non-governmental organizations, as well as the
support from foreign governments, in ongoing demining efforts.\textsuperscript{345} The United States, for example, granted $1.9 million for the funding of the demining plan.\textsuperscript{346} Canada provided additional funding in the amount of $1.6 million for projects including integral humanitarian mine action.\textsuperscript{347}

VII. CONCLUSION

The Law of Victims and Land Restitution faces significant challenges. Despite its substantial obstacles, the implementation of the Law of Victims is crucial to the adequate reparation for the victims, who have been, and continue to be, the most affected by the conflict. Certainly, eradicating the internal conflict is nearly impossible. Nonetheless, the government can adopt measures to initiate a change in the pattern of socioeconomic inequalities, which can eventually end the vicious cycle of the internal conflict. By reducing the socioeconomic inequalities and ensuring the victims’s socioeconomic stabilization upon return, the government will eliminate the conditions of inequality that originated the internal conflict in the first place. Also, it achieves the integral reparation of the victims by ensuring their economic stabilization. The government could do so if the program for land restitution is properly supplemented with a program for land grants. That way, the government engages in active measures to change the unequal distribution of land ownership, a distribution that creates an environment of inequality, allowing for the economic advancement of the victims.

Since it is not feasible to eradicate the internal conflict in Colombia, the government should, at the very least, offer more protection to the victims seeking restitution. In addition, it should establish effective plans to protect returned communities, as well as communities at risk of displacement. The government must promptly investigate and prosecute threats and attacks against the victims, innocent communities, and land restitution leaders for future deterrence of such threats and attacks. Last, the government cannot realistically prevent the continued use of landmines by illegally armed groups, but it can prioritize its demining programs in areas intended for restitution. That way, the safety of the returning victim is ensured, and land production and rural development are not hindered by the presence of landmines.
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